IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MELINDA FLOYD N/K/A MELINDA BELL, Appellant, vs. ERVIN TODD FLOYD, Respondent. No. 56898

FILED

MAY 1 0 2012

CLERY OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUT CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a district court order concerning child custody. Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; David A. Hardy, Judge.

Having considered the parties' arguments on appeal and the appellate record, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in modifying the parties' physical custody arrangement. Wallace v. Wallace, 112 Nev. 1015, 1019, 922 P.2d 541, 543 (1996) (providing that this court reviews district court child custody decisions for an abuse of discretion). The record belies appellant's contention that substantial evidence does not support the district court's findings. Gepford v. Gepford, 116 Nev. 1033, 1036, 13 P.3d 47, 49 (2000) (explaining

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

12-14962

that a district court's factual findings will be upheld if supported by substantial evidence in the record). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.1

Douglas, J

, J

Gibbons Parraguirre

cc: Hon. David A. Hardy, District Judge Hon. Egan Walker, District Judge Shawn B. Meador, Settlement Judge Ciciliano & Associates, LLC Sherry B. Bowers Washoe District Court Clerk

(O) 1947A

¹Having considered respondent's request for sanctions under NRAP 3E(i), we deny the request.

We have determined that this appeal should be submitted for decision on the briefs and appellate record without oral argument. See NRAP 34(f)(1).