IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MATTHEW MCCUTCHEON, Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE DAVID BARKER, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,

and

WANDA PUGH, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS PARENT AND GUARDIAN OF JULIA PUGH; AND WILLIAM PUGH, INDIVIDUALLY, Real Parties in Interest. DEC 0.9 2010 TRACE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK

10-32148

No. 56611

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion for reconsideration in a tort action.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to control a manifest abuse of discretion. NRS 34.160; <u>Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman</u>, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981). The counterpart to a writ of mandamus, a writ of prohibition, is available when a district court acts without or in excess of its jurisdiction. NRS 34.320; <u>State of Nevada v. Dist. Ct. (Anzalone)</u>, 118 Nev. 140, 146-47, 42 P.3d 233, 237 (2002). Both mandamus and prohibition are extraordinary remedies, and it is within this court's discretion to determine if a petition will be considered. <u>Smith v. District Court</u>, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

20

849, 851 (1991). Having reviewed the petition, we conclude that this court's extraordinary intervention is not warranted. <u>Id.</u>; NRAP 21(b)(1). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

J. Cherry

J. Sait J.

Gibbons

cc: Hon. David B. Barker, District Judge Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara, LLP Richard Harris Law Firm Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

 $\mathbf{2}$