

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRANDON STEPHEN WOODRUM,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 56606

FILED

DEC 20 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE


This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying appellant's motion to correct, modify, or reduce his sentence.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Linda Marie Bell, Judge.


In his motion, filed on May 24, 2010, appellant claimed that the district court relied on false information regarding appellant's criminal background. Appellant failed to identify any incorrect information and thus failed to demonstrate that the district court relied on mistaken assumptions regarding his criminal record that worked to his extreme detriment. See Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Appellant also failed to demonstrate that his sentence was facially illegal or that the district court lacked jurisdiction. See id. To the extent that appellant sought to challenge the effectiveness of counsel, his claims


¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Lockett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

must be raised in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.² We therefore conclude that the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.


_____, J.
Cherry


_____, J.
Saitta


_____, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Linda Marie Bell, District Judge
Brandon S. Woodrum
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

²We express no opinion as to whether appellant could meet the procedural requirements of NRS chapter 34.