IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GREGORY WILLIAM HUNTER, Appellant, vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 56581

FILED

MAR 1 8 2011

CLERMOF SUPREME OURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Stefany Miley, Judge.

In his petition filed on May 11, 2010, appellant claimed that his conviction for felony DUI was improper because a previous conviction for DUI, which was used to enhance the instant charge, was not valid. This claim fell outside the scope of claims permissible in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging a judgment of conviction

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. <u>See Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

based upon a guilty plea. NRS 34.810(1)(a). Therefore the district court did not err in denying this claim.² Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Saitta

Hurleity J.

J.

Hardesty

Parraguirre, J

cc: Hon. Stefany Miley, District Judge Gregory William Hunter Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

²Although the district court correctly observed that the original petition was not verified and was not in substantial compliance with the form set forth in NRS 34.735, those defects were curable. See Miles v. State, 120 Nev. 383, 387, 91 P.3d 588, 590 (2004). However, the district court correctly determined that appellant's claim fell outside the scope of claims permissible in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus and therefore, the petition was properly denied.