## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RODERICK D. RAMSEY,
Appellant,
vs.
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS,
Respondent.

No. 56569

FILED

JAN 13 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a petition for a writ of mandamus.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Donald M. Mosley, Judge.

In his petition, filed on May 24, 2010, appellant challenged administrative regulations promulgated pursuant to NRS 209.481 and the impact of those regulations on his placement within the Nevada prison system. Appellant failed to demonstrate that he was entitled to relief. See NRS 34.160; Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 603-04, 637 P.2d 534, 536 (1981); Poulos v. District Court, 98 Nev. 453, 455, 652 P.2d 1177, 1178 (1982). Because appellant's claims challenged the conditions of his confinement, he should have raised them in a civil rights action. Bowen v. Warden, 100 Nev. 489, 686 P.2d 250 (1984). We

See Cramera and Section 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. <u>See Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

therefore conclude that the district court did not err in denying the petition. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Saitta, J.

Hardesty J.

Parraguirre, J.

cc: Hon. Donald M. Mosley, District Judge Roderick D. Ramsey Attorney General/Las Vegas Eighth District Court Clerk