IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DANIEL WILLIS,
Appellant,
vs.
NEVADA BOARD OF PAROLE
COMMISSIONERS,
Respondent.

No. 56299

FILED

MAR 17 2011

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE



This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. ¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michelle Leavitt, Judge.

In his petition, filed on July 9, 2009, appellant claimed that his constitutional rights were violated when he was denied parole in November 2008. Appellant's claims did not challenge the validity of his judgment of conviction, sentence, or computation of time and were therefore not cognizable in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. See NRS 34.720. Accordingly, we conclude that the district court did not err in denying the petition.² Moreover, in attempting to send

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. <u>See Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

²The district court reached its decision on the merits of appellant's claims. We nevertheless affirm the district court's decision for the reasons stated above. See Wyatt v. State, 86 Nev. 294, 298, 468 P.2d 338, 341 continued on next page...

appellant documents in prison, this court has received information that he has since been released on parole. Appellant's release from prison on parole renders moot his claims regarding any previous denial of parole. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Saitta

Hardesty

Parraguirre

Hon. Michelle Leavitt, District Judge cc: Daniel Willis Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

 \dots continued

(1970) (holding that a correct result will not be reversed simply because it is based on the wrong reason).

SUPREME COURT NEVADA

