IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

OMAR J. TALLEY,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 56228

FILED

MAR 1 7 2011



ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction entered pursuant to a jury verdict of pandering a child, pandering by furnishing transportation to a child, and child abuse and neglect. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Kenneth C. Cory, Judge.

Appellant Omar Talley contends that the district court's inadequate admonishments on his privilege against self-incrimination, right to testify on his own behalf, and the effect his decision to testify may have on the admissibility of prior bad act evidence precluded him from making an informed decision on whether to testify. Because Talley did not object to the district court's admonishments, we review for plain error. See NRS 178.602; Green v. State, 119 Nev. 542, 545, 80 P.3d 93, 95 (2003). The record reveals that the district court properly admonished Talley and he acknowledged that he understood the admonishments. See generally Phillips v. State, 105 Nev. 631, 632-33, 782 P.2d 381, 382 (1989)

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

(discussing the privilege against self-incrimination and the right to testify). Accordingly, we conclude that there was no error, and we ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.¹

Saitta

Harlette J

Hardesty

Parraguirre,

cc: Hon. Kenneth C. Cory, District Judge
Sterling Law, LLC
Kocka & Bolton
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Although we have elected to file Talley's appendix, it does not comply with NRAP 3C(e)(2)(C) and 30(c)(1) because the pages are not paginated sequentially. Counsel for Talley is cautioned that failure to comply with the appendix requirements in the future may result in it being returned, unfiled, to be correctly prepared, see NRAP 32(e), and may also result in sanctions, see NRAP 3C(n).