

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANTHONY PRENTICE,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 56191

FILED

JUL 15 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is a proper person petition for a writ of mandamus. Petitioner challenges the validity of his judgment of conviction and sentence. We have reviewed the documents submitted in this matter, and without deciding upon the merits of any claims, we decline to exercise original jurisdiction in this matter. NRS 34.160; NRS 34.170. A challenge to the validity of the judgment of conviction and sentence must be raised in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus in the district court in the first instance.¹ NRS 34.724(2)(b); NRS 34.738(1). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Hardesty, J.
Hardesty

Douglas, J.
Douglas

Pickering, J.
Pickering

¹We express no opinion as to whether petitioner could meet the procedural requirements of NRS chapter 34.

cc: Anthony Prentice
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk