

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LESLIE EARL RAYMOND,
Appellant,
vs.
DWIGHT NEVEN,
Respondent.

No. 56076

FILED

OCT 22 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Donald M. Mosley, Judge.

In his petition filed on February 12, 2010, appellant challenged his classification as an Aryan Warrior associate, and appellant claimed that this classification negatively impacted parole. Appellant's claims challenged the conditions of confinement, and thus, were not cognizable in a petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in state court. Bowen v. Warden, 100 Nev. 489, 490, 686 P.2d 250, 250 (1984); see also Sandin v. Conner, 515 U.S. 472, 484 (1995). Parole is an act of grace of the state, and a prisoner has no right to serve less than the lawfully imposed sentence. See NRS 213.10705; NRS 213.1099(1); Weakland v. Bd. of Parole Comm'rs, 100 Nev. 218, 219-20, 678 P.2d 1158, 1160 (1984)

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Lockett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

(recognizing that Nevada's parole statutory scheme did not create a constitutionally cognizable liberty interest). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Cherry, J.
Cherry

Saitta, J.
Saitta

Gibbons, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Donald M. Mosley, District Judge
Leslie Earl Raymond
Attorney General/Las Vegas
Eighth District Court Clerk