

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WALTER DAVID MORGAN,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 56007

FILED

NOV 08 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion to correct or modify sentence.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michael Villani, Judge.

In his motion filed on May 13, 2010, appellant made a number of claims challenging the legality of his sentence and the jurisdiction of the court.² Based upon our review of the record on appeal, we conclude that appellant failed to demonstrate that his sentence was facially illegal or that the district court was not a competent court of jurisdiction. Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996); see also 1977 Nev. Stat., ch. 598, § 5, at 1627-28. Appellant further failed to demonstrate that the district court relied upon any mistakes about his criminal record

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Lockett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

²Appellant additionally raised a number of claims challenging the conditions of confinement.

that worked to his extreme detriment. Edwards, 112 Nev. at 708, 918 P.2d at 324. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.³

Hardesty, J.
Hardesty

Douglas, J.
Douglas

Pickering, J.
Pickering

cc: Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge
Eighth District Court Clerk
Walter D. Morgan
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney

³We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.