## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SUNDAR RAJ, M.D.,

Appellant,

vs.

SUNRISE HOSPITAL, A NEVADA CORPORATION, D/B/A SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER; JERALD F. MITCHELL, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CURRENT AND/OR FORMER PRESIDENT AND CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER OF SUNRISE HOSPITAL; ALLAN FROMAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS DIRECTOR OF THE MEDICAL LIBRARY OF SUNRISE HOSPITAL; AND PAM MORRISSEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CHIEF QUALITY COMPTROLLER OF SUNRISE HOSPITAL, No. 35098

FILED JUL 10 2001 LANE TTE M. BLOOM CLEBROD SUPPEME COURT BY HEF DEPUTY CLERK

Respondents.

## ORDER OF REVERSAL AND REMAND

This is an appeal from a district court order denying appellant's request for a preliminary injunction restoring his medical staff and patient privileges pending the outcome of a trial on the merits. Our review of the record on appeal suggests that the district court's decision to deny the preliminary injunction was in all likelihood predicated on Lakeside Community Hospital v. Levenson.<sup>1</sup>

Given our discussion of both the Quality Health Care Improvement Act<sup>2</sup> and <u>Levenson</u> in the recently-decided cases of <u>Clark v. Columbia/HCA Information Services<sup>3</sup></u> and <u>Mever v.</u>

<sup>1</sup>101 Nev. 777, 710 P.2d 727 (1985) (holding that Nevada district courts lack jurisdiction to entertain a suit challenging a private hospital's decision to deny a doctor staff privileges), <u>overruled in part by Clark v. Columbia/HCA Info. Servs.</u>, 117 Nev. \_\_\_\_, P.3d \_\_\_\_ (Adv. Op. No. 42, June 21, 2001), and <u>Meyer v. Sunrise Hosp.</u>, 117 Nev. \_\_\_, \_\_\_ P.3d \_\_\_\_ (Adv. Op. No. 31, May 15, 2001).

<sup>2</sup>42 U.S.C. §§ 11111 - 11115.

<sup>3</sup>117 Nev. \_\_\_, \_\_\_ P.3d \_\_\_ (Adv. Op. No. 42, June 21, 2001).

Sunrise Hospital,<sup>4</sup> we deem it necessary to remand this matter to the Eighth Judicial District Court so that the substantive issues raised can be reconsidered in light of <u>Clark</u> and <u>Meyer</u>. Accordingly, the order denying appellant's request for a preliminary injunction is reversed,<sup>5</sup> and the matter is remanded for further proceedings consistent with our opinions in <u>Clark</u> and <u>Meyer</u>.

It is so ORDERED.

J. Shearing J. J.

cc: Hon. Allan R. Earl, District Judge Christopher G. Gellner Law Offices of John R. Bailey Lionel Sawyer & Collins Clark County Clerk

<sup>4</sup>117 Nev. \_\_\_, P.3d \_\_\_ (Adv. Op. No. 31, May 15, 2001).

<sup>5</sup>We make no comment as to whether, upon remand, the preliminary injunction request should or should not be granted.

2