

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GREGORY WILLIAM HUNTER,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 55659

GREGORY WILLIAM HUNTER,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

✓ No. 55932

FILED

JUL 15 2010

TRACE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE


These are proper person appeals from orders of the district court denying a motion to vacate conviction and a motion to modify sentence.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Douglas W. Herndon, Judge. We elect to consolidate these appeals for disposition. NRAP 3(b).


In his motions filed on March 4, 2010, and April 2, 2010, appellant claimed that the instant felony DUI conviction was improperly


¹The appeal in Docket No. 55659 has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Lockett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975). This court has utilized the record on appeal filed in Docket No. 55659 to resolve Docket No 55932, and we direct the clerk of the district court to submit the appeal in Docket No. 55932 for decision based on the record received in Docket No. 55659.

considered to be a felony because the prior felony conviction relied upon was not valid due to errors in an earlier misdemeanor conviction. Appellant failed to demonstrate that the sentence was facially illegal or that the district court was not a competent court of jurisdiction. See Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Appellant further failed to demonstrate that the district court relied on mistaken assumptions regarding his criminal record that worked to his extreme detriment. See id. Notably, appellant entered a guilty plea to a felony DUI conviction in this case and received the stipulated sentence. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgments of the district court AFFIRMED.²


_____, J.
Hardesty


_____, J.
Douglas


_____, J.
Pickering

²We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in these matters, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.

cc: Hon. Douglas W. Herndon, District Judge
Gregory William Hunter
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk