

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WILLIE B. WARREN,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
WARDEN, SOUTHERN DESERT  
CORRECTIONAL CENTER, BRIAN  
WILLIAMS,  
Respondent.

No. 55871

**FILED**

SEP 10 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Kathy A. Hardcastle, Judge.

Appellant's claim that pursuant to NRS 209.449(1)(b), he was entitled to a credit of 60 days for completion of all programming not specifically completed pursuant to other statutory sections lacks merit. The provisions of NRS 209.449(1) apply only to programs of "vocational education or training" or "other programs approved by the Director." As the Director of the Nevada Department of Corrections did not approve any of the programming contested by appellant pursuant to NRS 209.449, and appellant does not allege that the programming was vocational in nature,

---

<sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Luckett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

appellant is not entitled to the 60-day credit provided by that same section. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.<sup>2</sup>

Hardesty, J.  
Hardesty

Douglas, J.  
Douglas

Pickering, J.  
Pickering

cc: Hon. Kathy A. Hardcastle, District Judge  
Willie Warren  
Attorney General/Las Vegas  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>2</sup>We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.