IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GENE EDWARD THOMAS, Appellant,

vs.

WARDEN, LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL CENTER, JACK PALMER AND THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondents. No. 55813

JUN 0 9 2010



ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Sixth Judicial District Court, Pershing County; Richard Wagner, Judge.

In his petition, appellant challenged the calculation of his sentence structure. Having reviewed the record on appeal, we conclude that substantial evidence supports the decision of the district court to deny relief and that the district court did not err as a matter of law. Riley v. State, 110 Nev. 638, 647, 878 P.2d 272, 278 (1994). Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the district court's order, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Cherry

allo

Saitta

Cibbons

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. <u>See Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

J.

cc: Hon. Richard Wagner, District Judge Gene Edward Thomas Attorney General/Carson City Pershing County Clerk

FILED

2010 MAR 30 AM 10: 06

Case No. PI 09-0699

Dept. No. 1

DONNA GILES

DISTRICT COURT CLERK Célinch

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

20 21

19

22

23 24

25 26

IN THE SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PERSHING

GENE EDWARD THOMAS, Petitioner,

JACK PALMER, et al.,

vs.

Respondents.

ORDER

Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus on October 22, 2009. On October 29, 2009, this Court issued an Order for Respondent's to respond. On January 12, 2010 Respondents filed a Response to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, and on January 21, 2010, Petitioner filed a Reply to Response to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. Finally, on March 24, 2010 Respondent's filed a Request for Submission.

The Court, having reviewed the pleadings, as well as the exhibits, finds that there is no evidence that the Nevada

Department of Corrections (NDOC) is treating the Petitioner's convictions inappropriately. This Court finds from the evidence before it that the NDOC is acting in accordance with the Judgment of Convictions.

Respondents have presented evidence that NDOC is running Count 6 of Case No. 182695 concurrent to all other counts in that case. This Court found in an Order dated January 11, 2007 that Petitioner's sentence structure was being treated appropriately in accordance with the Judgment of Convictions. Nothing has changed by those responsible for calculating Petitioner's time in the prison system as set forth in an affidavit by Respondents.

Therefore, because the sentence structure has not changed, and this Court finds that the NDOC is acting in accordance with the Judgment of Convictions, Petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus is hereby DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 30th day of March, 2010.

RICHARD A. WACNER DISTRICT JUDGE