IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CAROLYN CHRISTINE KELLY, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 55792

SEP 0 9 2010 SEP 0 9 2010 CLERIT OF SUPREME COURT BY DEPUTY CLERK

10- 2311-1

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion for sentence modification.¹ Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Connie J. Steinheimer, Judge.

Appellant sought modification of the sentence because of conditions relating to confinement and errors in the presentence investigation report that have hindered parole eligibility. Appellant failed to demonstrate that the district court relied on mistaken assumptions regarding her criminal record that worked to her extreme detriment at sentencing. <u>See Edwards v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324

SUPREME COURT OF Nevada

in the second to

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. <u>See Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

(1996). We therefore conclude that the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Hardesty

___, J. Douglas

handesthy, J. sty Pickering J.

Hon. Connie J. Steinheimer, District Judge cc: Carolyn Christine Kelly Attorney General/Carson City Washoe County District Attorney Washoe District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A