IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ERIC T. DOUGLAS, Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; THE HONORBALE BILL HENDERSON, DISTRICT JUDGE, FAMILY COURT DIVISION; AND CLERK OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, Respondents, and TAMMY LOPRINO AND THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Real Parties in Interest.

FILED APR 0 9 2010 TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK

No. 55679

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original proper person petition for a writ of mandamus challenges the district court's alleged failure to comply with a previous writ of mandamus issued by this court. In particular, petitioner asserts that the district court has failed to respond to his inquiries about his case, failed to transmit a transfer order to the correctional facility where he is incarcerated so that he could be present for a hearing, and failed to rule on several motions he has filed, including a motion to disqualify the district judge.

Having reviewed the petition and its attachments, we are not persuaded that extraordinary relief is warranted at this time. Specifically, writ relief is not available when the petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law, such as an appeal. NRS 34.170; <u>Pan v. Dist. Ct.</u>,

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA 120 Nev. 222, 88 P.3d 840 (2004). Here, petitioner's arguments are properly raised in an appeal from the order denying his motion to vacate the relocation order, once a written order has been entered.¹ Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

J. Cherry J. Saitta J. Gibbons

Hon. William G. Henderson, District Judge, Family Court Division cc: Eric T. Douglas **Clark County District Attorney** Tammy Loprino Eighth District Court Clerk

¹We are confident that the district court will promptly enter a written order resolving the motion.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

 $\mathbf{2}$