

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MANDI A. HENAULT,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, AND, THE HONORABLE
FRANK P. SULLIVAN, DISTRICT
JUDGE,


Respondents,
and

BRUCE C. HENAULT,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 55552

FILED

MAR 09 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR
WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION


This original petition for a writ of prohibition or mandamus challenges a district court order that denied petitioner's request for accelerated payment of attorney fees and for additional attorney fees.


We have considered this petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time. Specifically, petitioner has an adequate remedy at law in the form of an appeal from the final judgment. NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330 (both precluding writ relief when a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law is

available). Accordingly, we deny the petition.¹ See NRAP 21(b)(1); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991).

It is so ORDERED.


_____, J.
Hardesty


_____, J.
Douglas


_____, J.
Pickering

cc: Hon. Frank P. Sullivan, District Judge, Family Court Division
Ciciliano & Associates, LLC
Jimmerson Hansen
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Petitioner's motion for stay is denied as moot in light of this order.