


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LISA BACON,
Appellant,
vs.
HENRIK SOIBERG,
Respondent.

No. 55330

FILED

JUL 20 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is an appeal from a district court order entitled "Decree of Divorce." Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Steven E. Jones, Judge.

When our preliminary jurisdictional review revealed a potential jurisdictional defect, we directed appellant to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed. Appellant filed a response, arguing that the order finally resolved the issue she wishes to raise on appeal, specifically the disposition of respondent's individual retirement account. She admits, however, that issues regarding other retirement account(s) remain pending below.

A final judgment is one that disposes of all issues in the case and leaves nothing further for the district court's consideration, except for certain post-judgment issues such as costs and attorney fees. Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000). Here, the challenged

order does not resolve all issues, and it is thus not appealable as the final judgment. Id.; see also NRAP 3A(b)(1). Accordingly, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.¹

Hardesty, J.
Hardesty

Douglas, J.
Douglas

Pickering, J.
Pickering

cc: Hon. Steven E. Jones, District Judge, Family Court Division
Throne & Hauser
Henrik Soiberg
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹We note that appellant may raise her contentions regarding respondent's IRA in any appeal from the final judgment. See Consolidated Generator v. Cummins Engine, 114 Nev. 1304, 1312, 971 P.2d 1251, 1255 (1998) (providing that arguments concerning interlocutory orders may be raised in the context of an appeal from the final judgment).