IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER G. WILLIAMS, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 55304

FILED

JUL 1 5 2010

CLERHOF SUPPEME COURT
BY
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion for an amended judgment.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Valorie Vega, Judge.

In his motion, filed on December 22, 2009, appellant claimed that his judgment of conviction should be amended to read that he is only responsible for \$317 worth of restitution rather than the full \$635. The judgment of conviction currently states that he and his co-defendant are jointly and severally liable for \$635 in restitution. Because of the nature of relief sought, this court construes appellant's motion to be a motion to modify or correct an illegal sentence. Appellant failed to demonstrate that the district court relied upon any mistake about his criminal record that worked to his extreme detriment, Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996), and failed to demonstrate that his sentence was

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. <u>See Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

illegal, see 1995 Nev. Stat., ch. 168, § 1, at 257; 1995 Nev. Stat., ch. 443, § 44, at 181-82; 1995 Nev. Stat., ch. 455, § 1, at 1431, or that the district court was without jurisdiction to impose a sentence in this case, Edwards, 112 Nev. at 708, 918 P.2d at 324. Therefore, the district court did not err in denying this motion. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Hardesty J.

Douglas,

Pickering J.

cc: Hon. Valorie Vega, District Judge Christopher G. Williams Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk