## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOHN PAUL SUMMERS, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 55185

FILED

NOV 2 2 2010

CLERVOF SUPROME COURT

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is an appeal from a district court order denying appellant John Paul Summers' post-conviction motion to amend the judgment of conviction. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Abbi Silver, Judge.

Summers contends that the district court erred by denying his motion to amend the judgment of conviction to include additional credit for time served in presentence confinement. No statute or court rule authorizes an appeal from an order denying a motion to amend a judgment of conviction. Therefore, we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal. See Castillo v. State, 106 Nev. 349, 352, 792 P.2d 1133, 1135

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We note that claims for presentence credit must be raised in a direct appeal or a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. <u>See</u> Griffin v. State, 122 Nev. 737, 746, 137 P.3d 1165, 1170-71 (2006).

(1990). Accordingly, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.<sup>2</sup>

Hardesty

Drys J.

Douglas

Pickering

cc: Hon. Abbi Silver, District Judge Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Law Offices of C. Conrad Claus Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Although we filed the appendix submitted by Summers, it fails to comply with the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure. <u>See</u> NRAP 3C(e)(2)(C); NRAP 30(c). The appendix fails to include an alphabetical index identifying each of the documents contained therein. Counsel for Summers is cautioned, <u>once again</u>, that failure to comply with the appendix requirements may result in it being returned, unfiled, to be correctly prepared, <u>see</u> NRAP 32(e), and in the imposition of sanctions, NRAP 3C(n).