IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CAMERON DAVID KETCHUM, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 54973

FILED

JUN 09 2010

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a jury verdict, of grand larceny, possession of burglary tools, possession or sale of document or personal identifying information to establish false status or identity, three counts of burglary, and five counts of possession of credit or debit card without the cardholder's consent. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michael Villani, Judge.

Appellant Cameron David Ketchum claims that the State presented insufficient evidence to support the jury's verdict, specifically contending that he was unaware that any criminal activity was transpiring and believed that he was helping his friend purchase baby gifts. The evidence adduced at trial showed that the victim's SUV window was broken, her purse was taken from the vehicle, credit cards from that purse were used to purchase gift cards, and those gift cards were redeemed at the same store only hours later to purchase merchandise. The jury saw a surveillance video that showed two males conducting these transactions at the store, saw the corresponding receipts with the female victim's name listed, and heard from the detective who, under direction from a store security officer who had the individuals under surveillance,

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

followed them from the store and arrested them. Ketchum, whose physique and clothing matched the man making the gift card purchases, was one of the individuals arrested. Incident to that arrest, the detective found a porcelain device used to break car windows in Ketchum's pocket and the gift cards in his wallet. The victim's purse, with her credit cards, checkbook and other valuables inside, was found under Ketchum's seat. From this and the other evidence presented, we conclude that a rational juror could have reasonably found the essential elements of each crime enumerated above beyond a reasonable doubt. See Origel-Candido v. State, 114 Nev. 378, 381, 956 P.2d 1378, 1380 (1998); Jackson v. Virginia, 443 U.S. 307, 319 (1979); see also NRS 205.060; NRS 205.220; NRS 205.080; NRS 205.690; NRS 205.465.

Having considered Ketchum's claim and concluded that it lacks merit, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

Cherry

Jaitte , J

Gibbons

cc: Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge Robert E. Glennen III

Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

Eighth District Court Clerk

(O) 1947A