

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BUZZ STEW, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL.,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK,

AND THE HONORABLE MICHAEL
VILLANI, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and


CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 54615

FILED

OCT 29 2009

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS,
PROHIBITION, OR CERTIORARI


This original petition for a writ of mandamus, prohibition, or certiorari challenges a district court decision denying petitioner's motions in limine.


Having reviewed this petition, the supplements thereto, the answer, and the parties' supporting documentation, we are not persuaded that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted.¹ See NRS 34.020(2); NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330; NRAP 21(b) and (c); Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 224, 88 P.3d 840, 841 (2004); Walton v. District Court,


¹In this, we make no observations as to the merits of the parties' arguments.

94 Nev. 690, 692-93, 586 P.2d 309, 310 (1978) (noting that the district court's decisions concerning admissibility of evidence are properly challenged on appeal from a final judgment). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.²


_____, J.
Cherry


_____, J.
Saitta


_____, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge
Law Offices of Kermit L. Waters
Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Holley & Thompson
Eighth District Court Clerk

²To the extent that petitioner requests a stay of the upcoming trial, we deny its request as moot, in light of this order. And we admonish counsel that future requests for a stay must be filed separately from the petition. See NRAP 8; NRAP 27.