IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND COORDINATED CASES

IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND COORDINATED CASES

SAI K LLC, Appellant,

vs.

NGUYEN HUYNH AND THUY N. HUYNH, Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND COORDINATED CASES

ENDOSCOPY CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, LLC; GASTROENTEROLOGY CENTER OF NEVADA, LLP; AND SAI K LLC,

Appellants,

vs. GWENDOLYN MARTIN AND LOVEY MARTIN,

Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND COORDINATED CASES

JEFF KRUEGER, RN; PEGGY TAGLE, RN; AND SAI K LLC, Appellants,

vs.


KENNETH J. NOGLE,

Respondent.

No. 54358

No. 54351

FILED



No. 54361

No. 54362

54594 11-04536

NEVADA (0) 1947A

SUPREME COURT

IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER	
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND	
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND	
COORDINATED CASES	
JEFF KRUEGER, RN; LINDA HUBBARD,	
CRNA; AND SAI K LLC,	
Appellants,	
VS :	
SHARON ROYLANCE,	
Respondent.	
IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER	
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND	
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND	
COORDINATED CASES	
JEFF KRUEGER, RN; JANINE DRURY, RN;	
LINDA HUBBARD, CRNA; AND SAI K LLC,	
Appellants,	
vs.	
JULIE MENARD,	
Respondent.	
IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER	
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND	
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND	
COORDINATED CASES	
SANJAY NAYYAR, M.D., AND SAI K LLC,	
Appellants,	
vs.	
CAROLE GRUESKIN.	
Respondent.	

No. 54369

No. 54370

No. 54371

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER	No. 54372
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND	
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND	
COORDINATED CASES	
ENDOSCOPY CENTER OF SOUTHERN	
NEVADA, LLC; GASTROENTEROLOGY	
CENTER OF NEVADA, LLP; AND JANINE	
DRURY, RN,	
Appellants,	
vs.	
MICHAEL WASHINGTON AND JOSEPHINE	
WASHINGTON,	
Respondents.	
IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER	No. 54379
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND	
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND	
COORDINATED CASES	
JEFF KRUEGER, RN; AND VINCENT	
MIONE, CRNA,	
Appellants,	
vs.	
BONNIE J. BRUNSON AND CARL	
BRUNSON,	
Respondents.	s
IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER	No. 54382
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND	
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND	
COORDINATED CASES	
SAI K LLC,	
Appellant,	
VS.	
STACY HUTCHINSON,	
Respondent.	

IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND COORDINATED CASES	No. 54586
SAI K LLC,	
Appellant,	
vs.	-
RODOLFO MEANA AND LINDA MEANA,	.*
Respondents.	
IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER	No. 54587
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND	
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND	
COORDINATED CASES	
JEFF KRUEGER, RN; PEGGY TAGLE, RN;	
KEITH MATHAHS, CRNA; AND SAI K LLC,	
Appellants,	
vs.	ż
SONIA ORELLANA-RIVERA AND SAMUEL	
ALFARO-MARTINEZ,	
Respondents.	e e service de la constance de La constance de la constance de
IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER	No. 54589
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND	
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND	
COORDINATED CASES	
JANINE DRURY, RN,	
Appellant,	
vs.	
JAMES WILLIAMS AND HEIDI HAMILTON,	
Respondents.	

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

4

dizi gort on

No. 54591

No. 54594

No. 54595

IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND COORDINATED CASES
JEFF KRUEGER, RN; LINDA HUBBARD,
CRNA; AND SAI K LLC,
Appellants,
VS.
DOLORES J. CAPPETTO,
Respondent
IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND
COORDINATED CASES
JEFF KRUEGER, RN; AND SAI K LLC,
Appellants,
VS.
DIANNA JEAN BONNER,
Respondent
IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND
COORDINATED CASES
JANINE DRURY, RN,
Appellant,
VS.
JOAN ALLEN AND KENNETH G. ALLEN,
Respondents.

No. 54597

No. 54596

No. 54600

IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND COORDINATED CASES	No. 54601
JEFF KRUEGER, RN; AND VINCENT	
MIONE, CRNA,	
Appellants,	
VS.	
JUNE DOWNING,	
Respondent	
IN THE MATTER OF ENDOSCOPY CENTER	No. 54604
OF SOUTHERN NEVADA AND	
ASSOCIATED BUSINESSES AND	
COORDINATED CASES	
KEITH MATHAHS, CRNA,	
Appellant,	
vs.	
BARBARA MORGAN,	

ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS AS TO CERTAIN PARTIES

These are appeals from a district court order denying a motion to change the place of trial. The appeals have been coordinated, through the master case pending in Docket No. 54351, but are not consolidated. SAI K, LLC

On December 10, 2010, this court entered an order that, among other things, granted counsel for appellant SAI K, LLC's motion to withdraw as counsel of record. That order directed SAI K to retain new counsel and cause counsel to enter an appearance within 20 days of that order's date. Our December 10 order cautioned SAI K that, because a corporation may not appear in proper person or be represented by a nonattorney, <u>Salman v. Newell</u>, 110 Nev. 1333, 885 P.2d 607 (1994), if

OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

Respondent.

7

counsel failed to enter an appearance on its behalf, its appeals would be dismissed. To date, no attorney has entered an appearance in this matter on SAI K's behalf. Accordingly, SAI K's appeals pending in Docket Nos. 54358, 54361, 54362, 54369, 54370, 54371, 54382, 54586, 54587, 54594, 54595, 54596, and 54597 are dismissed. As a result, the appeals pending in Docket Nos. 54358,¹ 54382, and 54586 are dismissed in their entirety. <u>Mootness</u>

This court previously entered an order directing status reports from the appellants in these coordinated appeals regarding whether any and all claims, counterclaims, and cross-claims against them had been dismissed below, thereby rendering their appeals moot. <u>See Personhood</u> <u>Nevada v. Bristol</u>, 126 Nev. ____, ____, P.3d ____, (Adv. Op. No. 56, December 30, 2010) (stating that the duty of this court is to resolve actual controversies and not to render advisory opinions). Our December 10 order cautioned appellants that their failure to respond to this order may result in the dismissal of their appeals. In light of the responses filed in this court and the failure of certain appellants to respond as directed, the following appeals are dismissed as to the appellants set forth below.

OF NEVADA

¹Former appellant Nicolae Weisz, M.D., filed a status report in response to this court's December 10, 2010, order indicating that Weisz's appeal in Docket No. 54358 could be dismissed. As Weisz's appeal in this docket was previously dismissed by this court's December 10, 2010, order based on a prior status report submitted by Weisz, we take no action on this filing.

<u>Docket No. 54369</u>

The appeal filed by Jeff Krueger, RN, is dismissed based on the status report filed by Krueger. Additionally, the appeal of appellant Linda Hubbard, CRNA, is dismissed based on her failure to provide a status update with regard to this appeal.² As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.

<u>Docket No. 54370</u>

The appeals filed by Jeff Krueger, RN, Janine Drury, RN, and Linda Hubbard, CRNA, are dismissed based on the status reports filed by those parties. As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.

Docket No. 54372

The appeal filed by Janine Drury, RN, is dismissed based on the status report filed by Drury.

<u>Docket No. 54587</u>

The appeals filed by Jeff Krueger, RN, Peggy Tagle, RN, and Keith Mathahs, CRNA, are dismissed based on the status reports filed by those parties. As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.

<u>Docket No. 54589</u>

The appeal filed by Janine Drury, RN, is dismissed based on the status report filed by Drury. As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.

²Both the December 20 and December 29, 2010, status reports filed by Krueger contain a heading for a status update with regard to Hubbard's appeal in Docket No. 54369, but no such information is provided in that entry or anywhere else in the documents submitted by Hubbard. Instead, the entries merely state that "Respondent filed suit against numerous parties, including CRNA Appellant Lisa Franks." Franks, however, has not filed a notice of appeal in this case and is not a party to this appeal. As a result, no action need be taken as to Franks.

OF NEVADA

<u>Docket No. 54591</u>

The appeals filed by Jeff Krueger, RN, and Linda Hubbard, CRNA, are dismissed based on the status reports filed by those parties. As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.

Docket No. 54594

The appeals filed by Jeff Krueger, RN, and Vincent Mione, CRNA, are dismissed based on the status reports filed by those parties. Additionally, the appeal filed by Janine Drury, RN, is dismissed based on her failure to file a status report with regard to this appeal.³ As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.⁴

<u>Docket No. 54595</u>

The appeals filed by Jeff Krueger, RN, Linda McGreevy, RN, and Linda Hubbard, CRNA, are dismissed based on the status reports filed by those parties. As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.

<u>Docket No. 54596</u>

The appeals filed by Jeff Krueger, RN, and Linda Hubbard, CRNA, are dismissed based on the status reports filed by those parties. As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.

³Although Drury did file a status report with regard to her other appeals pending as part of this coordinated matter, this document does not provide a report with regard to the status of her appeal in Docket No. 54594.

⁴As Peggy Tagle, RN, is not a party to this appeal, we take no action with regard to the status report she submitted indicating that the claims against her in the underlying action had been dismissed.

OF

Docket No. 54597

The appeal filed by Jeff Krueger, RN, is dismissed based on the status report filed by Krueger. As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.⁵


Docket No. 54600

The appeal filed by Janine Drury, RN, is dismissed based on the status report filed by Drury. As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.

Docket No. 54604

The appeal filed by Keith Mathahs, CRNA, is dismissed based on the status report filed by Mathahs. As a result, this appeal is dismissed in its entirety.

It is so ORDERED.⁶



⁵As Keith Mathahs, CRNA, is not a party to this appeal, we take no action with regard to the status report he submitted indicating that the claims against him in the underlying action had been dismissed.

⁶The briefing schedule for this appeal shall remain suspended pending further order of this court. Additionally, the February 19, 2010, motion to transmit the "electronic record" is denied. To the extent that the DVDs at issue in that motion are properly part of the record on appeal, if appellants wish these materials to be considered in resolving their appeals, then they shall provide this court with copies of these materials when the briefing of these appeals resumes.

Hon. Kathy A. Hardcastle, District Judge Hon. Allan R. Earl, District Judge Alverson Taylor Mortensen & Sanders Ballard Spahr Andrews & Ingersoll, LLP Bonne, Bridges, Mueller, O'Keefe & Nichols **Buckley King** Gittler & Bradford Hutchison & Steffen, LLC Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP/Las Vegas MacDonald Devin, PC/Dallas Schuering Zimmerman Scully Tweedy & Doyle LLP Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP/Las Vegas Wright Stanish & Winckler SAI K LLC Craig P. Kenny & Associates Edward M. Bernstein & Associates/Las Vegas Gerald I. Gillock & Associates Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP Mainor Eglet Bruce T. Beesley Kusum Desai Lewis & Roca LLP/Reno Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA cc:

12