IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JERRY S. SHEPHERD,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NYE, AND THE HONORABLE ROBERT W. LANE, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,

and
DIRECTOR, NEVADA DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS, HOWARD
SKOLNIK,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 54507

FILED

SEP 2 5 2009

CLERK DE SUPREMO COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus, prohibition, and habeas corpus challenges the district court's order directing petitioner to enter a regimental discipline program. Petitioner has also requested a stay of the district court order and moved for expedited resolution of this petition. Having reviewed this petition, we conclude that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary writ of mandamus or prohibition is not warranted at this time because the record before this court does not indicate that the district court has refused to perform an act it is obligated to perform or acted in excess of its jurisdiction. See NRS 34.160; NRS 34.320. Additionally, we conclude that a writ of habeas corpus is not warranted because petitioner has not demonstrated that he is being held without lawful authority and a petition for a writ of habeas corpus may not be used to challenge the conditions of confinement. See NRAP 22; NRS 34.360; NRS 176A.780(1) (allowing a district court to order a

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

09.23364

defendant to complete a regimental discipline program in lieu of causing original sentence to be executed upon a violation of a condition of probation or suspension of sentence); Director, Dep't Prisons v. Arndt, 98 Nev. 84, 86, 640 P.2d 1318, 1319 (1982) (habeas corpus warranted only to challenge legality of custody and restraint); Bowen v. Warden, 100 Nev. 489, 490, 686 P.2d 250, 250 (1984) (a challenge to the imposition of a qualitatively more restrictive type of confinement within the prison speaks only to the conditions of confinement and may not be raised in a habeas corpus petition). Accordingly, we deny the petition and the motion for a stay.

It is so ORDERED.

Douglas Pickering

Hon. Robert W. Lane, District Judge cc: Nancy Theresa Lord Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City Nye County District Attorney/Pahrump Nye County Clerk

(O) 1947A