

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

EDUARDO CAMACHO,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 54456

FILED

JUN 09 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *A. Ingham*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion to correct an illegal sentence.¹ Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Brent T. Adams, Judge.

In his motion filed on June 4, 2009, appellant claimed that the deadly weapon enhancement was illegal because he should have received the benefit of the 2007 amendment to NRS 193.165. Appellant failed to demonstrate that his sentence was facially illegal and failed to demonstrate that the district court was not a competent court of jurisdiction. Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Notably, this court has determined that the 2007 amendment to NRS 193.165 does not apply retroactively but rather applies only to those

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Lockett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

offenses committed after July 1, 2007. State v. Dist. Ct. (Pullin), 124 Nev. 564, 571, 188 P.3d 1079, 1084 (2008). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²

Cherry, J.
Cherry

Saitta, J.
Saitta

Gibbons, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Brent T. Adams, District Judge
Eduardo Camacho
Attorney General/Carson City
Washoe County District Attorney
Washoe District Court Clerk

²We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted in proper person to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.