

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

G & J UPDATE, LLC, A NEVADA
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE
ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

CORCOM, INC., A NEVADA
CORPORATION D/B/A DON BEST
SPORTS; RX ODDS, INC., A NEVADA
CORPORATION D/B/A SPORTS
OPTIONS; MICHAEL ALLEC, AN
INDIVIDUAL; AND SULIEMAN
LALNAI, AN INDIVIDUAL,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 53661

FILED

MAY 08 2009
FRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR
WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court contempt order.


A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires or to control a manifest abuse of discretion. NRS 34.160; Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 603-04, 637 P.2d 534, 536 (1981). A writ of prohibition is available to arrest the proceedings of a district court exercising its judicial functions, when such proceedings are in excess of the district court's jurisdiction. NRS 34.320. Mandamus and prohibition are extraordinary remedies, and whether a


petition will be considered is within our sole discretion. Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991).


Petitioner bears the burden to demonstrate that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Under NRAP 21(a), a petition for extraordinary relief must provide this court with any and all materials that are “essential to an understanding of the matters set forth in the petition.”

Here, petitioner has failed to provide all the necessary documentation from the district court proceedings to properly evaluate the merits of this petition. Specifically, petitioner has not provided copies of the relevant motions filed or transcripts of hearings conducted in the district court. Accordingly, our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is not warranted, see NRAP 21(b); Smith, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851, and we

ORDER the petition DENIED.


_____, C.J.
Hardesty


_____, J.
Parraguirre


_____, J.
Pickering

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge
Kajioka & Associates
Gordon & Silver, Ltd.
Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas
Eighth District Court Clerk