## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION; SIERRA HEALTH SERVICES, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION, Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND, THE HONORABLE ALLAN R. EARL, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and FRANK J. BEAM, JR.; SUE BEAM; SHERYL Y. SILVA; AND SHELDON SILVA.

Real Parties in Interest.



09-08832

No. 53357

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges the district court's denial of petitioners' motion to dismiss the underlying action.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to control a manifest abuse of discretion. <u>See</u> NRS 34.160; <u>Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman</u>, 97 Nev. 601, 603-04, 637 P.2d 534, 536 (1981). We may issue a writ of prohibition to arrest the proceedings of a district court exercising its judicial functions, when such proceedings are

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

in excess of the district court's jurisdiction. <u>See</u> NRS 34.320. Neither mandamus nor prohibition will issue when the petitioners have a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330. Both mandamus and prohibition are extraordinary remedies, and whether a petition for extraordinary relief will be considered is solely within our discretion. <u>See Smith v. District Court</u>, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). It is petitioners' burden to demonstrate that our extraordinary intervention is warranted. <u>Pan v. Dist. Ct.</u>, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

Having considered this petition, we are not satisfied that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. Accordingly, we deny the petition.

> <u>See Smith</u>, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851; NRAP 21(b). It is so ORDERED.<sup>1</sup>

J. Cherry J. Saitta J.

Gibbons

<sup>1</sup>In light of this order, we deny as most petitioners' motion for a stay.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA Hon. Allan R. Earl, District Judge
Bryan Cave LLP
Jones Vargas/Las Vegas
David S. Ladwig Esq.
Marquiz Law Office
Parry Deering Futscher & Sparks, PSC
George O. West III
Eighth District Court Clerk

cc:

(O) 1947A