IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSEPH WINSTON REEKS, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 53330

FILED

FEB 0 4 2010 TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY ________ DEPUTY CLERKO

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court denying appellant's motion to modify his sentence, or in the alternative, to correct an illegal sentence.¹ Third Judicial District Court, Churchill County; David A. Huff, Judge.

Appellant's claim that the district court failed to specify whether the sentence for Count II was to run consecutive or concurrent to Count I fell outside the narrow scope of claims permissible in a motion to correct an illegal sentence or a motion to modify a sentence. Edwards v. State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Appellant's sentence was facially legal, and appellant failed to demonstrate that the district court was not a court of competent jurisdiction. Id.; see also NRS 176.035(1) (providing that the district court may, but is not required, to specify whether a sentence is to run concurrently or consecutively).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. <u>See Luckett v. Warden</u>, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

Appellant also failed to identify any mistaken assumptions about his criminal record which worked to his extreme detriment. <u>Edwards</u>, 112 Nev. at 708, 918 P.2d at 324. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

J. Cherry J. Saitta J. Gibbons

cc: Hon. David A. Huff, District Judge Joe Winston Reeks Attorney General/Carson City Churchill County District Attorney Churchill County Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

 $\mathbf{2}$