IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KATHY STEELE,

Appellant,

vs.

R. MICHAEL TURNIPSEED, STATE
ENGINEER, STATE OF NEVADA,
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND
NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF
WATER RESOURCES; AND BILL DYER AND
KATHY RYAN (INCORRECTLY NAMED AS
KATHY RYAN-DYER),

Respondents.

No. 34787

FILED

DEC 13 1999



ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order granting a preliminary injunction and enjoining appellant Kathy Steele from diverting water to her property. We have reviewed the record, and we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion by issuing the preliminary injunction. See Clark Co. School Dist. v. Buchanan, 112 Nev. 1146, 1150, 924 P.2d 716, 719 (1996) (a district court has discretion to grant a preliminary injunction if the applicant can show a reasonable probability of success on the merits and of irreparable harm if the objectionable conduct is allowed to continue); see also Czipott v. Fleigh, 87 Nev. 496, 498-99, 489 P.2d 681, 683 (1971) (when water rights are involved, the irreparable harm requirement is relaxed); Robison v. Bate, 78 Nev. 501, 506, 376 P.2d 763, 766 (1962) (interference with a

¹We direct the clerk of this court to amend the caption on this court's docket so that it is consistent with the caption on this order, and to list the Attorney General on the docket as counsel for the additional respondent.

vested water right may be enjoined without showing actual damage). Accordingly, we

ORDER this appeal dismissed.²

Maupin, J.

Shearing, J.

Becker, J.

cc: Hon. Peter I. Breen, District Judge
Attorney General
Laxalt & Nomura, Ltd.
Bowman & Robinson
Kathy Steele
Washoe County Clerk

 $^{^2}Although \ we \ did \ not \ grant \ appellant \ leave to file papers in proper person, <math display="inline">\underline{see}$ NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents that were received from appellant.