## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NENITA G. HANLEY,

Appellant,

vs.

JEFFREY HANLEY,

Respondent.

No. 52785

FILED

SEP 2 1 2009

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY SPECIAL CLERK

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL AND REMANDING TO THE DISTRICT COURT

Appellant has filed a document entitled, "Dismiss [sic] of Appeal and Remand Matter to the District Court as District Court is Inclined to Grant Relief Requested." That document contains no specific request or citation beyond that title. See NRAP 27(a) (A motion shall "state with particularity the grounds on which it is based, and shall set forth the order or relief sought."). Further, the document is not signed by counsel of record for appellant. See NRAP 25(1)(e) (all documents submitted for filing by a represented party shall include the original signature of the attorney of record). Attached to that document is an order from the district court certifying pursuant to Huneycutt v. Huneycutt, 1 that it is inclined to grant the relief sought in a pending motion for reconsideration of the order being appealed. The district court entered its order of certification in response to the parties' joint motion.

In the interest of judicial economy, we elect to treat the document filed by appellant as a proper motion for voluntary dismissal of this appeal and remand to the district court pursuant to <u>Huneycutt</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 94 Nev. 79, 575 P.2d 585 (1978).

Cause appearing, we grant that motion. Accordingly, we remand this matter to the district court pursuant to its certification, and we order this appeal dismissed. NRAP 42(b).

It is so ORDERED.

Parraguirre J.

Douglas , J

Pickering J.

cc: Eighth Judicial District Court Dept. E, District Judge, Family Court Division
Carolyn Worrell, Settlement Judge
Barnes Law Group
Jeffrey Hanley
Eighth District Court Clerk