## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INTERNATIONAL FIDELITY INSURANCE COMPANY AND SWIFT BAIL BONDS, INC., Petitioners, vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE KATHY A. HARDCASTLE, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and CITY OF LAS VEGAS,

Real Party in Interest.

NO. 52361 FILED NOV 0 3 2008 TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ DEPUTY CLEAK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order affirming a municipal court order that denied a motion to set aside a forfeiture and remit funds paid in a bail bond action.

This court may issue a writ of mandamus to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station,<sup>1</sup> or to control a manifest abuse of discretion.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NRS 34.160; <u>see also Smith v. District Court</u>, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

<sup>2</sup><u>Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman</u>, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA Whether we will consider petitions for extraordinary relief is within our sole discretion.<sup>3</sup>

We have considered this petition and its supporting documents and are not satisfied that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted.<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIEL 0 C.J. Gibbons J. Cherry J. Saitta

cc: Hon. Kathy A. Hardcastle, District Judge Jones Vargas/Las Vegas Las Vegas City Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>3</sup>Smith, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851.

<sup>4</sup>See NRAP 21(b); Smith, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA