IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLARENCE J. STEWART,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT, IN AND FOR CLARK
COUNTY, NEVADA AND THE
HONORABLE JACKIE GLASS,
DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 52343

FILED

SEP 0 4 2008

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY SUPPLY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION AND MOTION FOR STAY

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges the district court's order denying petitioner's motion to continue his trial. Petitioner asks this court to grant the petition and direct the district court to continue the trial "for purposes of discovery." In particular, petitioner asserts that the State has not provided necessary discovery regarding the witnesses it will call to authenticate certain recordings that may be used as evidence at trial. Petitioner also has filed a motion to stay the proceedings in district court pending this court's resolution of this petition.

We have considered the petition on file herein, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary writ is warranted at this time. This court has entertained pretrial writ petitions

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

to prevent pretrial discovery of privileged or protected documents¹ or to prevent improper pretrial discovery when a district court enters a discovery order in excess of its jurisdiction² when the petitioner has no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. But here, the district court has not ordered pretrial discovery of protected material or entered a discovery order in excess of its jurisdiction. And petitioner has an available remedy at law if he is convicted and the State or the district court failed to comply with applicable statutes and constitutional rules governing discovery and disclosures in criminal prosecutions. Accordingly, we deny the petition.³

It is so ORDERED.

Hardesty

Parraguirre

Douglas

cc: Hon. Jackie Glass, District Judge

Robert G. Lucherini, Chtd.

Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City

Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger

Eighth District Court Clerk

¹See, e.g., Columbia/HCA Healthcare v. Dist. Ct., 113 Nev. 521, 936 P.2d 844 (1997).

²See, e.g., State v. Dist. Ct. (Epperson), 120 Nev. 254, 89 P.3d 663 (2004).

³See NRAP 21(b). Because we deny the petition, we also deny the motion for a stay as moot.