IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KERRY ROGERS,

Appellant,

VS.

ECKLEY M. KEACH, ESQ.,

Respondent.

KERRY ROGERS, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Appellant,

VS.

JULIA BRADY, AN INDIVIDUAL, AND JULIA BRADY AS TRUSTEE FOR THE LIVING TRUST OF WILLIAM T.

BRADY AND JULIA D. BRADY DATED FEBRUARY 8, 2005,

Respondent.

No. 52088

No. 53998

FILED

DEC 09 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. YOUNG

ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS

In Docket Nos. 52088 and 53998, this court entered separate orders on October 8, 2010, granting appellant's then-counsel, the law firm of Hutchison & Steffen, leave to withdraw from its representation of appellant. Our October 8 orders gave appellant 30 days to retain new counsel and cause counsel to enter an appearance or inform this court that he intended to proceed on appeal in proper person. The October 8 orders also warned appellant that his failure to comply with this directive could result in the dismissal of his appeals as abandoned. Any responses from appellant were due to be filed in this court on November 8, 2010. On November 10, 2010, however, Hutchison & Steffen submitted a letter in this court, under Docket No. 53998, stating that it had been "informed via Mr. Rogers" that he will be representing himself in these two appeals, as well as a related appeal, Rogers v. Sack, Docket No. 53146. The letter then set forth appellant's "last known address." To date, appellant has

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA failed to respond to this court's October 8 orders. Because our October 8 orders specifically directed appellant to inform this court that he intended to proceed in proper person, the November 10 letter is nonresponsive. Accordingly, as appellant has failed to comply with our October 8 orders, we

ORDER these appeals DISMISSED.1

Cherry

Saitta

Gibbons

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge
Hon. Valerie Adair, District Judge
Ara H. Shirinian, Settlement Judge
Stephen E. Haberfeld, Settlement Judge
Kerry Rogers
Laxalt & Nomura, Ltd./Reno
Fennemore Craig, P.C./Las Vegas
Eighth District Court Clerk

(O) 1947A

¹In Docket No. 52088, we deny as most respondent's October 19, 2010, motion to require appellant to disclose whether he is being assisted by a "ghost-lawyer."