


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ARTURO ENRIQUE ACOSTA,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS
HERNDON, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and,
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 51330

FILED

APR 14 2008


TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING PETITION


This original petition for a writ of prohibition or mandamus challenges a district court decision denying a motion to compel an independent psychological evaluation of a complaining witness. The petition is procedurally deficient. In particular, it does not include "copies of any order . . . or parts of the record which may be essential to an understanding of the matters set forth in the petition" as required by NRAP 21(a). Despite this procedural deficiency, we have considered the petition on file herein, and we are not satisfied that this court's

intervention by way of extraordinary writ is warranted at this time.
Accordingly, we deny the petition.¹

It is so ORDERED.


_____, J.
Maupin


_____, J.
Cherry


_____, J.
Saitta

cc: Hon. Douglas W. Herndon, District Judge
Clark County Public Defender Philip J. Kohn
Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹See NRAP 21(b).