


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FERRILL JOSEPH VOLPICELLI,
Appellant,
vs.
THE CITY OF RENO; RENO POLICE
DEPARTMENT; AUSSIE SELF
STORAGE; LORI HARRIS; THOMAS
REED; KATHIE KELLY; AND DAVID
DELLA,
Respondents.

No. 51280

FILED

APR 14 2008

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL


This is a proper person appeal from district court orders granting a motion to dismiss and a motion for summary judgment in a tort action. Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Patrick Flanagan, Judge.


Our review of this appeal reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the district court's orders appellant seeks to appeal from do not resolve all claims against all parties, because some or all of appellant's claims against Aussie Self Storage and Lori Harris appear to remain pending below. Consequently, it appears that the district court has not entered a final, appealable judgment.¹ Additionally,


¹See Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 426, 996 P.2d 416, 417 (2000) (holding that "a final judgment is one that disposes of all the issues presented in the case, and leaves nothing for the future consideration of the court, except for post-judgment issues such as attorney's fees and costs"). We note that appellant may raise any issues with respect to the court's orders that removed the other respondents from this case in an appeal from the final judgment. See Consolidated Generator v. Cummins Engine, 114 Nev. 1304, 1312, 971 P.2d 1251, 1256 (1998) (holding that a
continued on next page . . .

the district court did not certify either order as final under NRCP 54(b).
Accordingly, as we lack jurisdiction over this appeal, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.


_____, J.
Maupin


_____, J.
Cherry


_____, J.
Saitta

cc: Hon. Patrick Flanagan, District Judge
Ferrill Joseph Volpicelli
Lemons Grundy & Eisenberg
Lewis & Roca, LLP/Reno
Reno City Attorney
Washoe District Court Clerk

... continued

party may challenge interlocutory orders in the context of an appeal from a final judgment). See also NRAP 3A(b)(1) (providing that an appeal may be taken from a final judgment).