## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RAQUEL CARRIZALES.

Appellant,

VS. PEDRO BECERRA.

Respondent.

No. 51227

FILED

NOV 192008

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

On September 10, 2008, this court entered an order directing appellant to show cause by October 10, 2008 why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Specifically, it appeared that the order denying a motion for reconsideration was not substantively appealable and that the notice of appeal may have been untimely filed.

To date, appellant has failed to respond to our order to show cause entered on September 10, 2008. We elect to treat appellant's failure to respond as an admission that this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal. Accordingly, this appeal is hereby dismissed.

It is so ORDERED.

Hardesty

Parraguirre

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Cf. King v. Cartlidge, 121 Nev. 926, 124 P.3d 1161 (2005) (stating that the district court has discretion to consider the failure to oppose a motion as an admission of merit and as consent to the granting of the motion).

cc: Hon. Timothy C. Williams, District Judge
William F. Buchanan, Settlement Judge
Kirk-Hughes & Associates
Joseph A. Scalia, II, Ltd.
Margaret Isom, Court Reporter
Eighth District Court Clerk