## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MIGUEL ANGEL RAMIREZ, Petitioner,

vs.

THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHITE PINE, AND, THE HONORABLE STEVE L. DOBRESCU, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents. No. 51030

FILED

MAR 0 7 2008

CLERK OF SUPREMB COURT

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This is a proper person petition for a writ of mandamus challenges the district court's alleged failure to rule on petitioner's dispositive motions in a timely fashion.

A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy, and it is within our sound discretion to determine if a petition will be considered.<sup>1</sup> Writ relief in the form of mandamus is generally not available unless the district court has manifestly abused its discretion.<sup>2</sup> It is petitioner's burden, moreover, to demonstrate that our extraordinary intervention is warranted.<sup>3</sup> Having considered the petition, we conclude that petitioner

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See State of Nevada v. Dist. Ct. (Anzalone), 118 Nev. 140, 147, 42 P.3d 233, 237-38 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

has not demonstrated that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted.<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.5

Hardesty

Parraguirre

Douglas J.

cc: Hon. Steve L. Dobrescu, District Judge
Miguel Angel Ramirez
Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City
White Pine County Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Smith, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849; NRAP 21(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>We conclude that petitioner has demonstrated good cause for waiving the filing fee. Accordingly, we grant petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis and waive the filing fee. NRAP 21(e).