## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSE RAMIREZ, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 50823

FILED

FEB 2 1 2008

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN ERK OF SUPREME COURT

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from an amended judgment of conviction. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Valorie Vega, Judge.

On August 8, 2007, the district court entered a judgment of conviction sentencing appellant to a number of terms of imprisonment and imposing restitution in the amount of \$22,414. It appears that the Department of Parole and Probation requested a clarification of the restitution order, and on November 6, 2007, the district court entered an amended judgment of conviction reducing the amount of restitution to \$19,414.

On December 21, 2007, appellant filed a document entitled "designation of record on appeal," which was treated as a notice of appeal and transmitted to and docketed in this court. This court's review of this appeal reveals jurisdictional defects. To the extent that appellant was attempting to appeal from the original judgment of conviction, this court lacks jurisdiction over the appeal as the notice of appeal was untimely.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>See NRAP 4(b); Lozada v. State, 110 Nev. 349, 871 P.2d 944 (1994).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA To the extent that appellant was attempting to appeal from the amended judgment of conviction, this court likewise lacks jurisdiction as appellant was not an aggrieved party as his sentence was reduced. Accordingly, we ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.<sup>2</sup>

J. Hardestv J. Parraguirre J. Douglas

cc: Hon. Valorie Vega, District Judge
Jose Ramirez
Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger
Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>2</sup>We have considered all proper person documents submitted in this matter, and we conclude that no relief is warranted for the reasons set forth above.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA