

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SUSAN SHALOV,
Appellant,


vs.

TRIPLE FIVE NEVADA
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION;
TORAH LEARNING CENTER, INC.,
D/B/A YOUNG ISRAEL AISH
HATORAH LAS VEGAS COMMUNITY
KOLLES, A/K/A YOUNG ISRAEL AISH
HATORAH; RAY ZETOONY;
ADRIENNE ZETOONY; RABBI
YITZCHAK WYNE; HELENE WYNE;
ESCANDOR GHERMAZIAN;
NATIONAL COUNCIL OF YOUNG
ISRAEL; AND AISH HATORAH,
Respondents.

No. 50764

FILED

MAR 07 2008

FRANCIS K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL


This is a proper person appeal from a district court judgment entered on an arbitration award after appellant's request for trial de novo was stricken and an order denying a motion to reconsider. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michael Villani, Judge.


Notice of entry of the district court's September 27, 2007 judgment was served on appellant by respondents' counsel via U.S. mail on October 1, 2007. Because service of the notice of entry was by mail, appellant had 33 days from the date of service to file her notice of appeal.¹ Appellant's notice of appeal challenging the judgment was therefore due to be filed in the district court on or before November 5, 2007. Appellant


¹See NRAP 4(a)(1); NRAP 26(c).

filed her notice of appeal on December 24, 2007, well after the 33 day period for filing her notice of appeal had run. Appellant's notice of appeal from the district court judgment on the arbitration award was therefore untimely. Accordingly, we lack jurisdiction to consider her appeal from that order.² With regard to appellant's appeal from the district court's denial of her motion for reconsideration, we have held that the denial of a motion for reconsideration is not substantively appealable.³ We therefore lack jurisdiction to consider her appeal from that order. Accordingly, because we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal, we

ORDER THIS APPEAL DISMISSED.


Hardesty, J.


Parraguirre, J.


Douglas, J.

²See Healy v. Volkswagenwerk, 103 Nev. 329, 741 P.2d 432 (1987) (noting that an untimely notice of appeal fails to vest jurisdiction in this court). Shalov also challenges various interlocutory orders in the context of her appeal from the final judgment. See Consolidated Generator v. Cummins Engine, 114 Nev. 1304, 1312, 971 P.2d 1251, 1256 (1998) ("interlocutory orders entered prior to the final judgment may properly be heard by this court" if the appeal is from a final judgment). Because we lack jurisdiction to consider her appeal from the final judgment, we likewise lack jurisdiction to consider her appeal from these interlocutory orders.

³See Alvis v. State, Gaming Control Bd., 99 Nev. 184, 660 P.2d 980 (1983).

cc: Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge
Susan Shalov
Meyers McConnell
Perry & Spann/Las Vegas
Prince & Keating, LLP
Eighth District Court Clerk