## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FRANCESCA FAU, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HER GUARDIAN AD LITEM, CAMILLE FAU; NICOLE FAU, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HER GUARDIAN AD LITEM, CAMILLE FAU; AND CAMILLE FAU, Petitioners,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE DAVID BARKER, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondent,

and

THE POWER COMPANY, D/B/A CRAZY HORSE TOO; PAUL LUCA; DARRIN BRUY; AND MIKE MUSCATO, Real Parties in Interest. No. 50267

FILED

OCT 2 5 2007

UNAVETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK THE SUPPLIES COURT

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges the district court's alleged failure to enter a final, dispositive order and to make the case file available to the district court clerk.

We have considered this petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time. Specifically, petitioners have failed to include any supporting documents with their petition and thus have failed to meet their NRAP

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

07.23542

21(a) burden.<sup>1</sup> We are thus completely unable to evaluate the petition on its merits. Accordingly, we deny the petition.<sup>2</sup>

It is so ORDERED.<sup>3</sup>

/\_julesty, J.
Hardesty

Taruesty

Parraguirre, J.

Douglas J.

cc: Hon. David B. Barker, District Judge Travis E. Shetler Stephenson & Dickinson Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See NRAP 21(a) (stating that "[t]he petition shall contain . . . copies of any order or opinion or parts of the record which may be essential to an understanding of the matters set forth in the petition"); <u>Pan v. Dist. Ct.</u>, 120 Nev. 222, 228-29, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (noting that, under NRAP 21(a), a petitioner has the burden of demonstrating that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See NRAP 21(b); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We note that petitioners' failure to properly serve the petition constitutes an independent basis for denying this petition.