## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NARVIEZ V. ALEXANDER, Petitioner,

vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, THE HONORABLE SALLY L.
LOEHRER, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,

and THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest. No. 50034

FILED

SEP 1 1 2007

CLERNOF SUPREME COURT
BY L. LLU CA CA O
DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is a proper person petition for a writ of habeas corpus. It appears that petitioner challenges prior habeas corpus proceedings and seeks permission to file a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus without application of any procedural bars. We have considered the documents submitted to this court, and we conclude that this court's intervention in this matter is not warranted. A challenge to the validity of the judgment of conviction and sentence must be raised in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in the district court in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In light of this court's disposition, we deny the application and motions filed in this court on August 20, 2007.

the first instance.<sup>2</sup> This court declines to consider the prior proceedings in this original petition. Accordingly, we

Gibbons

Cherry

J.

Saitta

cc: Hon. Sally L. Loehrer, District Judge
Narviez V. Alexander
Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger
Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See NRS 34.724; NRS 34.738(1). We express no opinion as to whether petitioner could satisfy the procedural requirements of NRS chapter 34.