

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID FRUEHAUF,
Appellant,
vs.
ALICIA FRUEHAUF,
Respondent.

No. 49921

FILED

JUL 24 2009

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a district court post-decree order directing appellant to satisfy a previously adjudicated judgment against him from particular funds. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Steven E. Jones, Judge.

After reviewing the documents before this court, we perceived a potential jurisdiction defect and we directed appellant to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because it appeared that the challenged order merely enforced the prior judgment.


After reviewing the record on appeal and appellant's response to this court's show cause order, we are satisfied that this court has jurisdiction to consider this appeal because appellant is appealing from a special order after final judgment.¹ NRAP 3(A)(b)(2); Gumm v. Mainor,


¹On June 24, 2009, this court issued a notice to appellant to provide proof of service of his response to our show cause order. To date, appellant has failed to respond to our notice. Having determined that this court has jurisdiction to consider this appeal, we nevertheless address the appeal's merits.


118 Nev. 912, 59 P.3d 1220 (2002) (defining special orders after final judgment).

Turning to the appeal's merits, appellant argues that the district court incorrectly ordered him to use funds allegedly belonging to a partnership to satisfy his previously adjudicated judgment. But the record on appeal does not support appellant's arguments, as it does not contain any documents explaining the alleged partnership's ownership, its creation, or funding. As this court has previously held, "appellants are responsible for making an adequate appellate record," and "[w]hen an appellant fails to include necessary documentation in the record, we necessarily presume that the missing portion supports the district court's decision." Cuzze v. Univ. & Cmty. Coll. Sys. of Nev., 123 Nev. 598, ___, 172 P.3d 131, 135 (2007). In the absence of documents supporting appellant's arguments concerning the alleged partnership, we conclude that the district court record supports the district court's order. Accordingly, we

ORDER the district court's order AFFIRMED.

 J.
Parraguirre

 J.
Douglas

 J.
Pickering

cc: Hon. Steven E. Jones, District Judge, Family Court Division
Gerard J. Bongiovanni
Alicia Fruehauf
Eighth District Court Clerk