## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

AMERICAN FAMILY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, Petitioner,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE JAMES A. BRENNAN, SENIOR JUDGE, Respondents,

and
PETER WHITE,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 49273

FILED

MAY 0 1 2007

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying petitioner's motion to disqualify opposing counsel. Petitioner also requests a stay of the district court proceedings pending our consideration of its petition.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion.<sup>1</sup> Mandamus is an extraordinary remedy, however, and its issuance is within this court's sole discretion.<sup>2</sup> A petitioner seeking mandamus relief has the burden of demonstrating that this court's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See NRS 34.160; <u>Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman</u>, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

intervention is warranted.<sup>3</sup> We have consistently held that orders in counsel disqualification matters are properly challenged by way of mandamus.<sup>4</sup>

We have considered this petition and the answer, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. In particular, we are not persuaded that the district court manifestly abused its discretion in determining that disqualification was not warranted.<sup>5</sup> Accordingly, we deny the petition.<sup>6</sup>

It is so ORDERED.<sup>7</sup>

1 1 0 0 0 0

Gibbons

Hardesty J.

Cherry

0.40 0.44 (000.4).

J.

J.

 $<sup>^3\</sup>underline{Pan\ v.\ Dist.\ Ct.},\ 120\ Nev.\ 222,\ 228-29,\ 88\ P.3d\ 840,\ 844\ (2004);$  NRAP 21(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See, e.g., Waid v. Dist. Ct., 121 Nev. 605, 119 P.3d 1219 (2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See Nevada Yellow Cab Corp. v. Dist. Ct., 123 Nev. \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_, 152 P.3d 737, 743 (2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See NRAP 21(b); Smith, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In light of this order, we deny as moot petitioner's request for a stay.

cc: Chief Judge, Eighth Judicial District Hon. James A. Brennan, Senior Judge Delanoy Schuetze & McGaha, P.C. Christensen Law Offices, LLC Eighth District Court Clerk