IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DONALD EDWARD SUFF, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 49217

FILED

AUG 1 4 2008 TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY S. Young DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, of one count of open or gross lewdness. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Donald M. Mosley, Judge.

On March 23, 2007, the district court entered a judgment of conviction sentencing appellant Donald Edward Suff to 365 days flat time in the Clark County Detention Center. On appeal, Suff challenges the flat time sentence. This court recently held in <u>Haney v. State</u> that "there is no statutory basis for flat time sentencing" and noted that "the Legislature has clearly evinced its intention to confer authority upon the sheriff's office to determine whether an individual inmate is eligible for good time [and work time] credits and that allowing flat time sentencing is contrary to that intent."¹ However, based on the sentence imposed in this case, it appeared likely that Suff had expired his sentence. Accordingly, we

¹124 Nev. ____, ____, 185 P.3d 350, 352, 353 (2008).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

directed counsel for Suff to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed as moot.²

On July 21, 2008, counsel for Suff filed a response to this court's order to show cause. In the response, counsel notes that Suff is no longer incarcerated and "does not oppose dismissal" because "the issues in this case are truly moot." Accordingly, having considered Suff's response to this court's order and concluding that this appeal is moot, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.

J. Hardestv J. Parraguirre J. Douglas

cc: Hon. Donald M. Mosley, District Judge Clark County Public Defender Philip J. Kohn Donald Edward Suff Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger Eighth District Court Clerk

²See Johnson v. Director, Dep't Prisons, 105 Nev. 314, 316, 774 P.2d 1047, 1049 (1989) (stating that expiration of a defendant's sentence rendered most any question concerning computation of the sentence).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA