

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF K.C., A MINOR,

No. 49209

VICTORIA C.,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

FILED

JUN 08 2007

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Richards*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a district court's oral ruling denying appellant's motion to quash. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; William O. Voy, Judge.


Our review of the documents transmitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(e) reveals jurisdictional defects. Appellant seeks to challenge the district court's oral ruling on her motion to quash. No appeal may be taken, however, from a district court's oral ruling.¹ Only a written judgment has any effect, and thus, only a written judgment may be appealed.² Moreover, the right to appeal is statutory; thus, where no statute or court rule provides for an appeal, no right to appeal exists.³ No


¹Rust v. Clark Cty. School District, 103 Nev. 686, 689, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987).


²Id.

³Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984).

statute or court rule authorizes an appeal from the denial of a motion to quash, thus to the extent that the district court's oral ruling denied appellant's motion to quash, it is not substantively appealable.⁴ Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction over this appeal and we, ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.⁵


Parraguirre, J.


Hardesty, J.


Saitta, J.

cc: Hon. William O. Voy, District Judge, Family Court Division
Victoria C.
Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger
Eighth District Court Clerk

⁴See NRAP 3A (listing orders from which an appeal may be taken).

⁵Appellant's failure to pay the filing fee and her failure to file her civil proper person appeal statement constitute independent reasons for dismissing this appeal.