

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JAMES E. SLAYTON,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
JAMIE MASON,  
Respondent.

No. 49037

FILED

APR 09 2007

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *J. Rubade*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This proper person appeal challenges a district court's purported oral ruling concluding that there was a breach of contract. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Jackie Glass, Judge.

Appellant purports to appeal from the district court's alleged "decision that there was a breach of contract." Based on our review of the documents transmitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(e), it appears that there are no district court minutes to indicate that the district court made such a ruling. Even if such a ruling was made, however, because no formal written order to that effect has been entered, this court lacks jurisdiction to hear this appeal, since a district court's oral ruling is not substantively appealable.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, it is not clear from the documents before us that, if a written order to that effect had been entered, such an

---

<sup>1</sup>See Rust v. Clark Cty. School District, 103 Nev. 686, 689, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987) (noting that only a written judgment has any effect, and thus, only a written judgment may be appealed).

order would constitute a final judgment from which an appeal could be taken.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction over this appeal, and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.<sup>3</sup>

Parraguirre, J.  
Parraguirre

Hardesty, J.  
Hardesty

Douglas, J.  
Douglas

cc: Hon. Jackie Glass, District Judge  
James E. Slayton  
Blalock & Qualey  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>2</sup>See NRAP 3A(b)(1) (providing for an appeal from a final judgment in an action or proceeding); Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000) (noting that a final judgment is one that disposes of all issues presented in the case, and leaves nothing for the future consideration of the court, except for post-judgment issues such as attorney fees and costs).

<sup>3</sup>As we conclude that we lack jurisdiction over this appeal, appellant need not file the civil proper person appeal statement and transcript request forms sent to him on February 15, 2007.