IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JEFFERY PERKINS, Petitioner,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE CYNTHIA DIANNE STEEL, DISTRICT JUDGE, FAMILY COURT DIVISION, Respondents,

and KAREN PERKINS, Real Party in Interest. No. 48846

FILED

MAR 12 2007



ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This proper person petition for a writ of mandamus seeks to compel the district court to dismiss the underlying case for failure to properly serve petitioner with the complaint.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station,¹ or to control a manifest abuse of discretion.² Mandamus is an extraordinary remedy, and it is within this court's discretion to determine

(O) 1947A

¹See NRS 34.160.

²See Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

if a petition will be considered.³ Having reviewed this petition, we conclude that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is not warranted. Accordingly, we deny the petition.⁴

It is so ORDERED.⁵

Gibbons

Douglas P

J.

Cherry

cc: Hon. Cynthia Dianne Steel, District Judge, Family Court Division Jeffery Perkins Karen Perkins Eighth District Court Clerk

³See Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

⁴See NRAP 21(b); Smith, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849.

⁵We conclude that petitioner has demonstrated good cause, and therefore we grant petitioner's motion, to proceed in forma pauperis and waive the filing fee. NRAP 21(e). The clerk of this court shall file petitioner's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, which was provisionally received on February 1, 2007.