## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TERRY MOSLEY,

Appellant,

vs. MATTHEW Q. CALLISTER,

Respondent.

No. 48843

FILED

MAY 05 2008

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 5. VO

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Appellant has filed a motion to dismiss this appeal. In support of the motion, appellant asserts that "the objectives of this appeal will best be met if the matter is taken up" after the resolution of a related case. Appellant goes on to state that "[a]lternatively, if this Court perceives that the issues are ripe enough to be heard and determined here, ... then appellant can file [her] brief within 2 weeks."

We are not convinced from appellant's motion that appellant truly wants to dismiss this appeal. However, the motion also indicates that appellant has filed for bankruptcy. The filing of a bankruptcy petition operates to stay, automatically, the "continuation" of any "judicial . . . action . . . against the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(1). An appeal, for purposes of the automatic stay, is considered a continuation of the action in the trial court. Consequently, an appeal is automatically stayed if the debtor was the defendant in the underlying trial court action. See Ingersoll-Rand Financial Corp. v. Miller Mining, Co. Inc., 817 F.2d 1424 (9th Cir. 1987). It appears that appellant was a defendant below.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

Therefore, this appeal is stayed pursuant to the automatic stay provisions of federal bankruptcy law.

Given the applicability of the automatic stay, this appeal may linger indefinitely on this court's docket pending final resolution of the bankruptcy proceedings. Accordingly, we conclude that judicial efficiency will be best served if this appeal is dismissed without prejudice. Because a dismissal without prejudice will not require this court to reach the merits of this appeal and is not inconsistent with the primary purposes of the bankruptcy stay—to provide protection for debtors and creditors—we further conclude that such dismissal will not violate the bankruptcy stay.<sup>1</sup> See Independent Union of Flight Attendants v. Pan American World Airways, Inc., 966 F.2d 457, 459 (9th Cir. 1992) (holding that the automatic stay does not preclude dismissal of an appeal so long as dismissal is "consistent with the purpose of the statute [11 U.S.C. §362(a)"]; Dean v. Trans World Airlines, Inc., 72 F.3d 754, 755 (9th Cir. 1995) (holding that a post-bankruptcy petition dismissal will violate the automatic stay "where the decision to dismiss first requires the court to consider other issues presented by or related to the underlying case").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The automatic stay provides a debtor "with protection against hungry creditors" and gives it a "breathing spell from its creditors" by stopping all collection efforts. <u>Dean v. Trans World Airlines, Inc.</u>, 72 F.3d 754, 755 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995). Further, it assures creditors "that the debtor's other creditors are not racing to various courthouses to pursue independent remedies to drain the debtor's assets." Id. At 755-6.

Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal. This dismissal is without prejudice to appellant's right to move for reinstatement of this appeal upon either the lifting of the bankruptcy stay or final resolution of the bankruptcy proceedings, if appellant deems such a motion appropriate at that time.

It is so ORDERED.

Hardesty,

Parraguirre

Douglas, J

cc: Hon. Valerie Adair, District Judge Goold Patterson Ales & Day Callister & Reynolds Eighth District Court Clerk