

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN G. TRAPP,
Appellant,
vs.
JERRY SOLOMON,
Respondent.

No. 48797

FILED

APR 09 2007

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Rubard*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order dismissing appellant's claims against respondent. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Sally L. Loehrer, Judge.

Our review of the documents transmitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(e) reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it does not appear that a final appealable judgment has been entered in the underlying case.¹ A final judgment is one that disposes of all issues presented in the case, and leaves nothing for the future consideration of the court, except for post-judgment issues such as attorney fees and costs.² Although the challenged order dismisses appellant's claims against respondent, it appears that appellant's claims against defendants The Football Network, TFN the Football Network, and Jantanio Turner aka

¹See NRAP 3A(b)(1) (providing for an appeal from a final judgment in an action or proceeding).

²Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000).

Jantonio Turner remain pending in the district court. As the challenged order has not been certified as final under NRCP 54(b), it appears that this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal.³ Accordingly, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.⁴

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

Hardesty, J.
Hardesty

Douglas, J.
Douglas

³The challenged order dismissing appellant's claims against respondent was entered on December 5, 2006. Although it appears from the district court minutes that the district court subsequently issued an oral ruling dismissing the claims against the remaining defendants with prejudice on December 19, 2006, no final written order memorializing that ruling appears on the district court docket entries transmitted to this court. Because a formal written order dismissing the underlying case in its entirety has apparently not been entered, the December 19, 2006 oral ruling fails to vest this court with jurisdiction over this appeal. See Rust v. Clark Cty. School District, 103 Nev. 686, 689, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987) (noting that only a written judgment has any effect, and thus, only a written judgment may be appealed); Lee, 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416.

⁴In light of this order, we deny as moot appellant's request for transcripts. We note that appellant's failure to file his civil proper person appeal statement constitutes an independent basis for dismissing this appeal. See ADKT No. 385 (Order Establishing Pilot Program in Civil Appeals, June 10, 2005), Exhibit A (Instructions for Civil Litigants Without Attorneys); ADKT No. 385 (Order Extending Pilot Program for Civil Proper Person Appeals, May 10, 2006) (extending the pilot program for civil appeals, which was scheduled to conclude on June 13, 2006, until further order of this court). Similarly, appellant's failure to pay the filing fee or demonstrate compliance with NRAP 24(a) also constitutes an independent basis for dismissing this appeal.

cc: Hon. Sally L. Loehrer, District Judge
Steven G. Trapp
White, Meany & Wetherall, LLP/Las Vegas
Eighth District Court Clerk