IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STAKE, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, Petitioner, vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and D. MICHAEL TALLA; SHAC MT, LLC; REX LICKLIDER; PETER FEINSTEIN; LEE POLSTER; PETER ALPERT; SHELDON BERGER; GEORGE VASILAKOS; ROBERT SHIPP; JOHN MOLLER; MARK SPINO; BADDA BING PARTNERS; SHAC FEINSTEIN, LLC; FEINSTEIN FINANCIAL, LLC; PETER ELIADES; SHAC ELIADES, LLC; AND SHAC, LLC, Real Parties in Interest.	No. 48316 **FILED** JAN 1 1 2007 JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY_____ CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR
WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order excluding certain parties from participating in an auction of a parcel of real property.

On November 7, 2006, counsel for petitioner contacted the clerk of this court, indicating his intention to file a motion voluntarily dismissing this petition. Thereafter, when no such motion was filed, we

07-00814

directed petitioner to, within fifteen days, show cause why this petition should not be dismissed.

In response to our show cause order, petitioner has filed a motion to voluntarily dismiss this petition. Having considered the motion, we grant it.[1]

Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DISMISSED.[2]

_____, J.
Gibbons

_____, J.
Douglas

_____, J.
Cherry

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge
       Beckley Singleton, Chtd./Las Vegas
       Gentile DePalma, Ltd.
       Greenberg Traurig, LLP
       Kummer Kaempfer Bonner Renshaw & Ferrario/Las Vegas
       Clark County Clerk

---

[1]On January 3, 2007, petitioner filed a document entitled "Response to Order to Show Cause," in which it requested an extension of time to substantively respond to our December 12, 2006 show cause order. We grant the request, and accordingly, we deem petitioner's January 8, 2007 motion to voluntarily dismiss this petition a timely filed response to our show cause order.

[2]NRAP 42(b).